



DWGTF Advisory Commission Screening Worksheet

The Drinking Water and Groundwater Trust Fund (DWGTF) **Drinking Water Loan and Grant Program Rules for Construction Projects**, adopted March 11, 2019 by the DWGTF Advisory Commission, outlines *criteria that may be considered by the Commission when determining whether or not to award a loan or grant.*

Applicant Name:	Eidelweiss	Recommended for Funding	Priority
Project Name:	Water System Improvements	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	Moderate
Review Date:	October 22, 2019	<input type="checkbox"/> No	

CRITERIA TO BE CONSIDERED		
YES	NO	Screening Notes:
		<i>1. Project results in the removal, reduction or mitigation of contamination related to groundwater or drinking water.</i>
	X	This is an asset renewal project
		<i>2. Project is for a PWS serving customers with a low Median Household Income or high Affordability Index.</i>
	X	MHI = \$59,500, Projected rate = \$485, same as current rate
		<i>3. Applicant has exhausted all other possible funding sources.</i>
	X	"No Time" to explore other funding options, unsure of eligibility
		<i>4. Proposal provides the greatest amount of funds from outside (non-DWGTF) funding sources.</i>
X		Project provides \$85K from CRF, \$869,400 self-funded with authority to borrow
		<i>5. Proposal prioritizes DWGTF loan requests vs. DWGTF grant requests.</i>
	X	Project only requests grant (\$295,000) – no mention of where self-funded loan would come from
		<i>6. Proof of thoroughness with respect to both the application and project development.</i>
X		Project is well developed, but application is lacking. Ready to bid this winter.
		<i>7. Demonstrates project readiness through methods including but not limited to letters of support from local entities, preparation and submittal of preliminary engineering reports, and confirmation of approval of funds from leveraged funding sources.</i>
X		Authority to borrow in hand
		<i>8. Project is consistent with the applicant's established Asset Management Program and proposed management of assets, Capital Improvement Plans, and rate analysis associated with the project.</i>
X		No backup provided
		<i>9. Project has an impact on economic development.</i>
	X	Project claims it will provide jobs – nothing beyond construction work though
		<i>10. Project is energy efficient or increases energy efficiency of the system.</i>
X		Minimal efficiency gains through VFD and new pipes
		<i>11. Project improves water efficiency.</i>
X		Replaces leaking old pipes
		<i>12. Project enhances source water protection or acquisition of water sources for public consumption.</i>
	X	
		<i>13. Project involves a unique or innovative approach.</i>
	X	
		<i>14. Project completion will result in the interconnection of two or more Public Water Systems (PWS).</i>
	X	
		<i>15. Project has long-term viability.</i>
X		Community should be able to pay with established rate structure



DWGTF Advisory Commission Screening Worksheet

The Drinking Water and Groundwater Trust Fund (DWGTF) **Drinking Water Loan and Grant Program Rules for Construction Projects**, adopted March 11, 2019 by the DWGTF Advisory Commission, outlines *criteria that may be considered by the Commission when determining whether or not to award a loan or grant.*

Applicant Name:	Evergreen Terrace (Lee)	Recommended for Funding	Priority
Project Name:	Water System Upgrade	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	
Review Date:	October 22, 2019	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

CRITERIA TO BE CONSIDERED		
YES	NO	Screening Notes:
		1. <i>Project results in the removal, reduction or mitigation of contamination related to groundwater or drinking water.</i>
	X	
		2. <i>Project is for a PWS serving customers with a low Median Household Income or high Affordability Index.</i>
	X	Information not given – projected rate is lower than current rate?
		3. <i>Applicant has exhausted all other possible funding sources.</i>
	X	Missed deadline for SRF, not RD/CDBG eligible. Encourage applicant to apply for other sources
		4. <i>Proposal provides the greatest amount of funds from outside (non-DWGTF) funding sources.</i>
	X	No outside funds
		5. <i>Proposal prioritizes DWGTF loan requests vs. DWGTF grant requests.</i>
X		100% loan - \$235,000
		6. <i>Proof of thoroughness with respect to both the application and project development.</i>
	X	PER listed, but not provided. Completed August 2019. May not be ready to move forward.
		7. <i>Demonstrates project readiness through methods including but not limited to letters of support from local entities, preparation and submittal of preliminary engineering reports, and confirmation of approval of funds from leveraged funding sources.</i>
	X	None attached, other than NHDES sanitary survey
		8. <i>Project is consistent with the applicant's established Asset Management Program and proposed management of assets, Capital Improvement Plans, and rate analysis associated with the project.</i>
	X	No AM program?
		9. <i>Project has an impact on economic development.</i>
	X	
		10. <i>Project is energy efficient or increases energy efficiency of the system.</i>
	X	Minimal – VFDs to be used in new design
		11. <i>Project improves water efficiency.</i>
X		Replaces leaky pipes
		12. <i>Project enhances source water protection or acquisition of water sources for public consumption.</i>
X		Possibly protects the source through capital improvements?
		13. <i>Project involves a unique or innovative approach.</i>
	X	
		14. <i>Project completion will result in the interconnection of two or more Public Water Systems (PWS).</i>
	X	
		15. <i>Project has long-term viability.</i>
X		



DWGTF Advisory Commission Screening Worksheet

The Drinking Water and Groundwater Trust Fund (DWGTF) **Drinking Water Loan and Grant Program Rules for Construction Projects**, adopted March 11, 2019 by the DWGTF Advisory Commission, outlines *criteria that may be considered by the Commission when determining whether or not to award a loan or grant.*

Applicant Name:	Town of Farmington	Recommended for Funding	Priority
Project Name:	Route 11 Water Main Extension	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	
Review Date:	Oct. 22, 2019	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

CRITERIA TO BE CONSIDERED		
YES	NO	Screening Notes:
		<i>1. Project results in the removal, reduction or mitigation of contamination related to groundwater or drinking water.</i>
	X	
		<i>2. Project is for a PWS serving customers with a low Median Household Income or high Affordability Index.</i>
X		<i>Community has low MHI, but rates are very low and so is AI</i>
		<i>3. Applicant has exhausted all other possible funding sources.</i>
X		<i>Too small for RD; eligible for CDBG but the town takes for Health and Human Services issues.</i>
		<i>4. Proposal provides the greatest amount of funds from outside (non-DWGTF) funding sources.</i>
	X	<i>No leveraged funding</i>
		<i>5. Proposal prioritizes DWGTF loan requests vs. DWGTF grant requests.</i>
X		<i>Asking for 100% loan</i>
		<i>6. Proof of thoroughness with respect to both the application and project development.</i>
	X	<i>No supporting information is provided.</i>
		<i>7. Demonstrates project readiness through methods including but not limited to letters of support from local entities, preparation and submittal of preliminary engineering reports, and confirmation of approval of funds from leveraged funding sources.</i>
	X	<i>Application does not include letters of support or completed design.</i>
		<i>8. Project is consistent with the applicant's established Asset Management Program and proposed management of assets, Capital Improvement Plans, and rate analysis associated with the project.</i>
X		<i>Information from the Chair of the Subcommittee</i>
		<i>9. Project has an impact on economic development.</i>
X		
		<i>10. Project is energy efficient or increases energy efficiency of the system.</i>
	X	
		<i>11. Project improves water efficiency.</i>
	X	
		<i>12. Project enhances source water protection or acquisition of water sources for public consumption.</i>
	X	
		<i>13. Project involves a unique or innovative approach.</i>
	X	
		<i>14. Project completion will result in the interconnection of two or more Public Water Systems (PWS).</i>
	X	
		<i>15. Project has long-term viability.</i>
X		



DWGTF Advisory Commission Screening Worksheet

The Drinking Water and Groundwater Trust Fund (DWGTF) **Drinking Water Loan and Grant Program Rules for Construction Projects**, adopted March 11, 2019 by the DWGTF Advisory Commission, outlines *criteria that may be considered by the Commission when determining whether or not to award a loan or grant.*

Applicant Name:	Goffstown	Recommended for Funding	Priority
Project Name:	DPW Water Main Extension	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	
Review Date:	Oct. 22, 2019	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

CRITERIA TO BE CONSIDERED		
YES	NO	Screening Notes:
		<i>1. Project results in the removal, reduction or mitigation of contamination related to groundwater or drinking water.</i>
	X	Project is complete, funding to cover cost overruns
		<i>2. Project is for a PWS serving customers with a low Median Household Income or high Affordability Index.</i>
	X	Serves only 6 residences + DPW bldg. – high MHI = \$72,931
		<i>3. Applicant has exhausted all other possible funding sources.</i>
	X	Claims all other funding sources “Not Applicable”
		<i>4. Proposal provides the greatest amount of funds from outside (non-DWGTF) funding sources.</i>
	X	Asking DWGTF to cover entire cost overrun?
		<i>5. Proposal prioritizes DWGTF loan requests vs. DWGTF grant requests.</i>
	X	Full grant-only
		<i>6. Proof of thoroughness with respect to both the application and project development.</i>
	X	Request for more funding may be due to lack of proper planning prior to project initiation
		<i>7. Demonstrates project readiness through methods including but not limited to letters of support from local entities, preparation and submittal of preliminary engineering reports, and confirmation of approval of funds from leveraged funding sources.</i>
X		Project is in progress
		<i>8. Project is consistent with the applicant’s established Asset Management Program and proposed management of assets, Capital Improvement Plans, and rate analysis associated with the project.</i>
X		
		<i>9. Project has an impact on economic development.</i>
	X	
		<i>10. Project is energy efficient or increases energy efficiency of the system.</i>
	X	
		<i>11. Project improves water efficiency.</i>
	X	
		<i>12. Project enhances source water protection or acquisition of water sources for public consumption.</i>
	X	
		<i>13. Project involves a unique or innovative approach.</i>
	X	
		<i>14. Project completion will result in the interconnection of two or more Public Water Systems (PWS).</i>
	X	
		<i>15. Project has long-term viability.</i>
X		



DWGTF Advisory Commission Screening Worksheet

The Drinking Water and Groundwater Trust Fund (DWGTF) **Drinking Water Loan and Grant Program Rules for Construction Projects**, adopted March 11, 2019 by the DWGTF Advisory Commission, outlines *criteria that may be considered by the Commission when determining whether or not to award a loan or grant.*

Applicant Name:	Hooksett Village	Recommended for Funding	Priority
Project Name:	New Water Tank & Water Line Extension	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	LOW
Review Date:	October 22, 2019	<input type="checkbox"/> No	

CRITERIA TO BE CONSIDERED		
YES	NO	Screening Notes:
	X	1. <i>Project results in the removal, reduction or mitigation of contamination related to groundwater or drinking water.</i>
	X	2. <i>Project is for a PWS serving customers with a low Median Household Income or high Affordability Index.</i> MHI = \$71,000, AI = 0.88; Rate not projected to change?
X		3. <i>Applicant has exhausted all other possible funding sources.</i> Not eligible for RD, CDBG – has developer funding
X		4. <i>Proposal provides the greatest amount of funds from outside (non-DWGTF) funding sources.</i> Developer, Town, self-funding
	X	5. <i>Proposal prioritizes DWGTF loan requests vs. DWGTF grant requests.</i> Requests 51% grant from DWGTF for this phase of work
X		6. <i>Proof of thoroughness with respect to both the application and project development.</i> This portion of work was cut from previously approved project
X	X	7. <i>Demonstrates project readiness through methods including but not limited to letters of support from local entities, preparation and submittal of preliminary engineering reports, and confirmation of approval of funds from leveraged funding sources.</i> Questionable commitment of funds from developers, does have limited commitment from town
X		8. <i>Project is consistent with the applicant's established Asset Management Program and proposed management of assets, Capital Improvement Plans, and rate analysis associated with the project.</i>
X		9. <i>Project has an impact on economic development.</i>
	X	10. <i>Project is energy efficient or increases energy efficiency of the system.</i> App says yes, but doesn't seem to reduce pumping time – only cycles
	X	11. <i>Project improves water efficiency.</i> App says yes, but not really
	X	12. <i>Project enhances source water protection or acquisition of water sources for public consumption.</i>
X		13. <i>Project involves a unique or innovative approach.</i> Partnership between Precinct and Town
	X	14. <i>Project completion will result in the interconnection of two or more Public Water Systems (PWS).</i>
X		15. <i>Project has long-term viability.</i>



DWGTF Advisory Commission Screening Worksheet

The Drinking Water and Groundwater Trust Fund (DWGTF) **Drinking Water Loan and Grant Program Rules for Construction Projects**, adopted March 11, 2019 by the DWGTF Advisory Commission, outlines *criteria that may be considered by the Commission when determining whether or not to award a loan or grant.*

Applicant Name:	Lee Oak	Recommended for Funding	Priority
Project Name:	Lee Oak Cooperative	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	
Review Date:	October 22, 2019	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

CRITERIA TO BE CONSIDERED		
YES	NO	Screening Notes:
<i>1. Project results in the removal, reduction or mitigation of contamination related to groundwater or drinking water.</i>		
X		Bacterial contamination due to poor infrastructure
<i>2. Project is for a PWS serving customers with a low Median Household Income or high Affordability Index.</i>		
X		MHI = \$37,607, AI = 1.33
<i>3. Applicant has exhausted all other possible funding sources.</i>		
	X	SRF approved; Recommend applicant apply for other funding sources
<i>4. Proposal provides the greatest amount of funds from outside (non-DWGTF) funding sources.</i>		
	X	Recommend applicant look at other funding sources and then re-apply to DWGTF
<i>5. Proposal prioritizes DWGTF loan requests vs. DWGTF grant requests.</i>		
	X	Seeks 60% grant
<i>6. Proof of thoroughness with respect to both the application and project development.</i>		
	X	
<i>7. Demonstrates project readiness through methods including but not limited to letters of support from local entities, preparation and submittal of preliminary engineering reports, and confirmation of approval of funds from leveraged funding sources.</i>		
	X	
<i>8. Project is consistent with the applicant's established Asset Management Program and proposed management of assets, Capital Improvement Plans, and rate analysis associated with the project.</i>		
	X	No AM program yet, no CIP program
<i>9. Project has an impact on economic development.</i>		
	X	
<i>10. Project is energy efficient or increases energy efficiency of the system.</i>		
	X	
<i>11. Project improves water efficiency.</i>		
	X	Remove leaky, old pipes
<i>12. Project enhances source water protection or acquisition of water sources for public consumption.</i>		
X		Due to poor infrastructure at source
<i>13. Project involves a unique or innovative approach.</i>		
	X	
<i>14. Project completion will result in the interconnection of two or more Public Water Systems (PWS).</i>		
	X	
<i>15. Project has long-term viability.</i>		
	X	



DWGTF Advisory Commission Screening Worksheet

The Drinking Water and Groundwater Trust Fund (DWGTF) **Drinking Water Loan and Grant Program Rules for Construction Projects**, adopted March 11, 2019 by the DWGTF Advisory Commission, outlines *criteria that may be considered by the Commission when determining whether or not to award a loan or grant.*

Applicant Name:	Lower Bartlett Water Precinct	Recommended for Funding	Priority
Project Name:	Bartlett Village Interconnect	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	
Review Date:	October 22, 2019	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No (Refer to Special Project)	

CRITERIA TO BE CONSIDERED		
YES	NO	Screening Notes:
<i>1. Project results in the removal, reduction or mitigation of contamination related to groundwater or drinking water.</i>		
X		Beryllium contamination in small community water systems along proposed route, however, standard does not apply because they are transient and non-Community water systems
<i>2. Project is for a PWS serving customers with a low Median Household Income or high Affordability Index.</i>		
X		MHI = \$50,250; AI = 1.48
<i>3. Applicant has exhausted all other possible funding sources.</i>		
X		Not RD eligible; CDBG dependent on Town of Bartlett, have vague commitments from private developers
<i>4. Proposal provides the greatest amount of funds from outside (non-DWGTF) funding sources.</i>		
	X	Some outside developer contributions, value not clearly defined in application enough to be considered significant
<i>5. Proposal prioritizes DWGTF loan requests vs. DWGTF grant requests.</i>		
	X	50% grant requested due to limits of borrowing for LBWP
<i>6. Proof of thoroughness with respect to both the application and project development.</i>		
X		Significant preliminary engineering and work with local developers / community systems
<i>7. Demonstrates project readiness through methods including but not limited to letters of support from local entities, preparation and submittal of preliminary engineering reports, and confirmation of approval of funds from leveraged funding sources.</i>		
X	X	Commitment is thought to be there, but not reflected in application
<i>8. Project is consistent with the applicant's established Asset Management Program and proposed management of assets, Capital Improvement Plans, and rate analysis associated with the project.</i>		
X		
<i>9. Project has an impact on economic development.</i>		
X		Major development potential along Rt 302 between LBWP and BVWP; Vail resorts just purchased Attitash
<i>10. Project is energy efficient or increases energy efficiency of the system.</i>		
	X	App says yes, no explanation
<i>11. Project improves water efficiency.</i>		
	X	
<i>12. Project enhances source water protection or acquisition of water sources for public consumption.</i>		
X		(3) private gravel-packed wells to be turned over to LBWP increasing resiliency; provides backup to BVWP in event of surface water compromise
<i>13. Project involves a unique or innovative approach.</i>		
X		Multi-municipality partnership for regional interconnection
<i>14. Project completion will result in the interconnection of two or more Public Water Systems (PWS).</i>		
X		Final piece to interconnect from Bartlett Village to Conway Village (20+ miles through Mount Washington Valley)
<i>15. Project has long-term viability.</i>		
	X	Need more concrete commitments from users along proposed route



DWGTF Advisory Commission Screening Worksheet

The Drinking Water and Groundwater Trust Fund (DWGTF) **Drinking Water Loan and Grant Program Rules for Construction Projects**, adopted March 11, 2019 by the DWGTF Advisory Commission, outlines *criteria that may be considered by the Commission when determining whether or not to award a loan or grant.*

Applicant Name:	Newmarket	Recommended for Funding	Priority
Project Name:	MacIntosh & Tucker Well	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	
Review Date:	October 22, 2019	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No (Funding evolving – potential to come back as Special Project)	

CRITERIA TO BE CONSIDERED		
YES	NO	Screening Notes:
<i>1. Project results in the removal, reduction or mitigation of contamination related to groundwater or drinking water.</i>		
X		Arsenic due to lower standard; Iron & manganese SMCLs
<i>2. Project is for a PWS serving customers with a low Median Household Income or high Affordability Index.</i>		
X		MHI = \$61K; AI = 1.27
<i>3. Applicant has exhausted all other possible funding sources.</i>		
	X	More information needed about funding sources and availability; not clear how funding is evolving
<i>4. Proposal provides the greatest amount of funds from outside (non-DWGTF) funding sources.</i>		
	X	2018 DWGTF funding is majority of project, although \$8M secured for related work through RD; \$1.5M from Moody Point not included in calcs – part of RD project
<i>5. Proposal prioritizes DWGTF loan requests vs. DWGTF grant requests.</i>		
	X	Nearly 50% of this funding request, app lists 16.8% of total of all projects going on now
<i>6. Proof of thoroughness with respect to both the application and project development.</i>		
X		Part of comprehensive action plan with much forethought and planning
<i>7. Demonstrates project readiness through methods including but not limited to letters of support from local entities, preparation and submittal of preliminary engineering reports, and confirmation of approval of funds from leveraged funding sources.</i>		
X	X	Moody Point – what part of the project is this associated with? DWGTF or USDA RD separate project?
<i>8. Project is consistent with the applicant's established Asset Management Program and proposed management of assets, Capital Improvement Plans, and rate analysis associated with the project.</i>		
X		
<i>9. Project has an impact on economic development.</i>		
X		NHDES moratorium on future development due to limited source capacity?
<i>10. Project is energy efficient or increases energy efficiency of the system.</i>		
	X	Project would be built efficiently, but not increase existing efficiency
<i>11. Project improves water efficiency.</i>		
	X	
<i>12. Project enhances source water protection or acquisition of water sources for public consumption.</i>		
X		Part of project is ability to use new sources
<i>13. Project involves a unique or innovative approach.</i>		
	X	
<i>14. Project completion will result in the interconnection of two or more Public Water Systems (PWS).</i>		
X		Connection to Moody Point, eliminates that PWS
<i>15. Project has long-term viability.</i>		
X		



DWGTF Advisory Commission Screening Worksheet

The Drinking Water and Groundwater Trust Fund (DWGTF) **Drinking Water Loan and Grant Program Rules for Construction Projects**, adopted March 11, 2019 by the DWGTF Advisory Commission, outlines *criteria that may be considered by the Commission when determining whether or not to award a loan or grant.*

Applicant Name:	North Country Village Coop	Recommended for Funding	Priority
Project Name:	Water System Improvements	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	
Review Date:	October 22, 2019	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

CRITERIA TO BE CONSIDERED		
YES	NO	Screening Notes:
		<i>1. Project results in the removal, reduction or mitigation of contamination related to groundwater or drinking water.</i>
	X	
		<i>2. Project is for a PWS serving customers with a low Median Household Income or high Affordability Index.</i>
X		
		<i>3. Applicant has exhausted all other possible funding sources.</i>
	X	Eligible for CDBG, no application
		<i>4. Proposal provides the greatest amount of funds from outside (non-DWGTF) funding sources.</i>
	X	No outside funding
		<i>5. Proposal prioritizes DWGTF loan requests vs. DWGTF grant requests.</i>
	X	50% grant/loan
		<i>6. Proof of thoroughness with respect to both the application and project development.</i>
	X	No PER yet?
		<i>7. Demonstrates project readiness through methods including but not limited to letters of support from local entities, preparation and submittal of preliminary engineering reports, and confirmation of approval of funds from leveraged funding sources.</i>
	X	No PER yet?
		<i>8. Project is consistent with the applicant's established Asset Management Program and proposed management of assets, Capital Improvement Plans, and rate analysis associated with the project.</i>
	X	No AM
		<i>9. Project has an impact on economic development.</i>
	X	Serves mobile home park only
		<i>10. Project is energy efficient or increases energy efficiency of the system.</i>
	X	
		<i>11. Project improves water efficiency.</i>
X		Replaces old, leaking mains
		<i>12. Project enhances source water protection or acquisition of water sources for public consumption.</i>
	X	Asset renewal only
		<i>13. Project involves a unique or innovative approach.</i>
	X	
		<i>14. Project completion will result in the interconnection of two or more Public Water Systems (PWS).</i>
	X	
		<i>15. Project has long-term viability.</i>
X		



DWGTF Advisory Commission Screening Worksheet

The Drinking Water and Groundwater Trust Fund (DWGTF) **Drinking Water Loan and Grant Program Rules for Construction Projects**, adopted March 11, 2019 by the DWGTF Advisory Commission, outlines *criteria that may be considered by the Commission when determining whether or not to award a loan or grant.*

Applicant Name:	Portsmouth – Dover	Recommended for Funding	Priority
Project Name:	Interconnection	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	
Review Date:	October 22, 2019	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

CRITERIA TO BE CONSIDERED		
YES	NO	Screening Notes:
		<i>1. Project results in the removal, reduction or mitigation of contamination related to groundwater or drinking water.</i>
	X	Emergency Interconnect
		<i>2. Project is for a PWS serving customers with a low Median Household Income or high Affordability Index.</i>
	X	\$72K MHI, no AI provided
		<i>3. Applicant has exhausted all other possible funding sources.</i>
X	X	State/Federal funding options exhausted; may be other opportunities
		<i>4. Proposal provides the greatest amount of funds from outside (non-DWGTF) funding sources.</i>
	X	Fully funded through DWGTF
		<i>5. Proposal prioritizes DWGTF loan requests vs. DWGTF grant requests.</i>
	X	50% Grant/Loan
		<i>6. Proof of thoroughness with respect to both the application and project development.</i>
X		PER done
		<i>7. Demonstrates project readiness through methods including but not limited to letters of support from local entities, preparation and submittal of preliminary engineering reports, and confirmation of approval of funds from leveraged funding sources.</i>
X		Concerns over project not being fully developed however.
		<i>8. Project is consistent with the applicant's established Asset Management Program and proposed management of assets, Capital Improvement Plans, and rate analysis associated with the project.</i>
	X	Per app, not included in AM plans
		<i>9. Project has an impact on economic development.</i>
	X	For emergency use
		<i>10. Project is energy efficient or increases energy efficiency of the system.</i>
	X	
		<i>11. Project improves water efficiency.</i>
	X	
		<i>12. Project enhances source water protection or acquisition of water sources for public consumption.</i>
X		Regional redundancy
		<i>13. Project involves a unique or innovative approach.</i>
X		Regional redundancy through municipal partnerships
		<i>14. Project completion will result in the interconnection of two or more Public Water Systems (PWS).</i>
X		Somersworth – Dover – Portsmouth
		<i>15. Project has long-term viability.</i>
X		



DWGTF Advisory Commission Screening Worksheet

The Drinking Water and Groundwater Trust Fund (DWGTF) **Drinking Water Loan and Grant Program Rules for Construction Projects**, adopted March 11, 2019 by the DWGTF Advisory Commission, outlines *criteria that may be considered by the Commission when determining whether or not to award a loan or grant.*

Applicant Name:	Sanbornville Water Precinct	Recommended for Funding	Priority
Project Name:	Infrastructure Improvements Phase II	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	High
Review Date:	October 22, 2019	<input type="checkbox"/> No	

CRITERIA TO BE CONSIDERED		
YES	NO	Screening Notes:
		<i>1. Project results in the removal, reduction or mitigation of contamination related to groundwater or drinking water.</i>
	X	Bacteria issues due to poor infrastructure, not necessarily contamination
		<i>2. Project is for a PWS serving customers with a low Median Household Income or high Affordability Index.</i>
X		MHI = \$53K, AI = 2.05
		<i>3. Applicant has exhausted all other possible funding sources.</i>
	X	SRF approved for full amount; no RD yet, no CDBG remaining. Looking for more grant/affordability?
		<i>4. Proposal provides the greatest amount of funds from outside (non-DWGTF) funding sources.</i>
X	X	Potential – this funding could potentially help with development of an RD application
		<i>5. Proposal prioritizes DWGTF loan requests vs. DWGTF grant requests.</i>
	X	Grant only
		<i>6. Proof of thoroughness with respect to both the application and project development.</i>
X		Horizons letter
		<i>7. Demonstrates project readiness through methods including but not limited to letters of support from local entities, preparation and submittal of preliminary engineering reports, and confirmation of approval of funds from leveraged funding sources.</i>
	X	Not ready to go – no real engineering yet
		<i>8. Project is consistent with the applicant’s established Asset Management Program and proposed management of assets, Capital Improvement Plans, and rate analysis associated with the project.</i>
X		
		<i>9. Project has an impact on economic development.</i>
X		Potential for reliable service to attract/retain business
		<i>10. Project is energy efficient or increases energy efficiency of the system.</i>
X		Reduce water loss pumping demand
		<i>11. Project improves water efficiency.</i>
X		Replace leaking pipes
		<i>12. Project enhances source water protection or acquisition of water sources for public consumption.</i>
	X	
		<i>13. Project involves a unique or innovative approach.</i>
	X	
		<i>14. Project completion will result in the interconnection of two or more Public Water Systems (PWS).</i>
	X	
		<i>15. Project has long-term viability.</i>
X		