



## DWGTF Advisory Commission Screening Worksheet

The Drinking Water and Groundwater Trust Fund (DWGTF) **Drinking Water Loan and Grant Program Rules for Construction Projects**, adopted March 11, 2019 by the DWGTF Advisory Commission, outlines *criteria that may be considered by the Commission when determining whether or not to award a loan or grant.*

Applicant Name:	Antrim Water & Sewer District	Recommended for Funding	Priority
Project Name:	Antrim Water System Improvements	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	
Application Date:	9/13/19	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

### CRITERIA TO BE CONSIDERED

YES	NO	Screening Notes:
		<i>1. Project results in the removal, reduction or mitigation of contamination related to groundwater or drinking water.</i>
X		<i>Iron &amp; Manganese concentrations exceed secondary MCL limits - currently not health based standard; contamination issue does not rise to level of a health concern at this time.</i>
		<i>2. Project is for a PWS serving customers with a low Median Household Income or high Affordability Index.</i>
X		<i>AI=1.34; MHI below statewide average; recommend system provide projected rate increase</i>
		<i>3. Applicant has exhausted all other possible funding sources.</i>
	X	<i>Recommend that system apply for and exhaust other funding sources</i>
		<i>4. Proposal provides the greatest amount of funds from outside (non-DWGTF) funding sources.</i>
	X	<i>Applied for SRF; \$235K costs incurred to move project forward; Subcommittee is not convinced the system made good faith efforts to secure or exhaust other funding possibilities(CDBG, USDA)</i>
		<i>5. Proposal prioritizes DWGTF loan requests vs. DWGTF grant requests.</i>
	X	<i>Grant request 56% of total project costs; 76% Grant request of DWGT Request; Recommend applicant swap grant/loan ratio</i>
		<i>6. Proof of thoroughness with respect to both the application and project development.</i>
		<i>7. Demonstrates project readiness through methods including but not limited to letters of support from local entities, preparation and submittal of preliminary engineering reports, and confirmation of approval of funds from leveraged funding sources.</i>
		<i>No letters of support;</i>
		<i>8. Project is consistent with the applicant's established Asset Management Program and proposed management of assets, Capital Improvement Plans, and rate analysis associated with the project.</i>
		<i>9. Project has an impact on economic development.</i>
		<i>10. Project is energy efficient or increases energy efficiency of the system.</i>
		<i>11. Project improves water efficiency.</i>
		<i>12. Project enhances source water protection or acquisition of water sources for public consumption.</i>
		<i>13. Project involves a unique or innovative approach.</i>
		<i>14. Project completion will result in the interconnection of two or more Public Water Systems (PWS).</i>
		<i>15. Project has long-term viability.</i>





## DWGTF Advisory Commission Screening Worksheet

The Drinking Water and Groundwater Trust Fund (DWGTF) **Drinking Water Loan and Grant Program Rules for Construction Projects**, adopted March 11, 2019 by the DWGTF Advisory Commission, outlines *criteria that may be considered by the Commission when determining whether or not to award a loan or grant.*

Applicant Name:	Town of Canaan	Recommended for Funding	Priority
Project Name:	Main Water Supply Line Upgrade	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	
Application Date:	9/13/19	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

<b>CRITERIA TO BE CONSIDERED</b>		
YES	NO	Screening Notes:
<i>1. Project results in the removal, reduction or mitigation of contamination related to groundwater or drinking water.</i>		
X		
<i>2. Project is for a PWS serving customers with a low Median Household Income or high Affordability Index.</i>		
X		<i>Low affordability index- address discrepancies in rates and affordability index- not consistent with SRF</i>
<i>3. Applicant has exhausted all other possible funding sources.</i>		
	X	<i>Did not pursue or secure other funding options; Applied to SRF but no other sources to date; There is a need demonstrated- not recommended for funding this round- subcommittee recommends system exhaust other funds before seeking DWGTF</i>
<i>4. Proposal provides the greatest amount of funds from outside (non-DWGTF) funding sources.</i>		
	X	<i>Did not pursue or secure other funding options- DWGTF is not last resort funding source</i>
<i>5. Proposal prioritizes DWGTF loan requests vs. DWGTF grant requests.</i>		
	X	<i>Project funding plan is only valid if system receives SRF funding- define what system would do if funding pieces fail</i>
<i>6. Proof of thoroughness with respect to both the application and project development.</i>		
	X	<i>Develop project, define scope more and consider re-applying as special project</i>
<i>7. Demonstrates project readiness through methods including but not limited to letters of support from local entities, preparation and submittal of preliminary engineering reports, and confirmation of approval of funds from leveraged funding sources.</i>		
	X	
<i>8. Project is consistent with the applicant's established Asset Management Program and proposed management of assets, Capital Improvement Plans, and rate analysis associated with the project.</i>		
<i>9. Project has an impact on economic development.</i>		
<i>10. Project is energy efficient or increases energy efficiency of the system.</i>		
<i>11. Project improves water efficiency.</i>		
<i>12. Project enhances source water protection or acquisition of water sources for public consumption.</i>		
<i>13. Project involves a unique or innovative approach.</i>		
<i>14. Project completion will result in the interconnection of two or more Public Water Systems (PWS).</i>		
<i>15. Project has long-term viability.</i>		



## DWGTF Advisory Commission Screening Worksheet

The Drinking Water and Groundwater Trust Fund (DWGTF) **Drinking Water Loan and Grant Program Rules for Construction Projects**, adopted March 11, 2019 by the DWGTF Advisory Commission, outlines *criteria that may be considered by the Commission when determining whether or not to award a loan or grant.*

Applicant Name:	Charlestown Water Works	Recommended for Funding	Priority
Project Name:	North and South Water System Interconnect	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	
Application Date:	9/13/19	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

<b>CRITERIA TO BE CONSIDERED</b>		
YES	NO	Screening Notes:
<i>1. Project results in the removal, reduction or mitigation of contamination related to groundwater or drinking water.</i>		
X		<i>Arsenic in water supply-currently treating but existing treatment is no longer manufactured and will not comply with 2021 standard</i>
<i>2. Project is for a PWS serving customers with a low Median Household Income or high Affordability Index.</i>		
X		<i>Low MHI-AI</i>
<i>3. Applicant has exhausted all other possible funding sources.</i>		
	X	<i>May apply to other funding sources but has not exhausted the funding options- USDA not likely to offer grant; consider northern borders</i>
<i>4. Proposal provides the greatest amount of funds from outside (non-DWGTF) funding sources.</i>		
	X	<i>Did not exhaust all other funding options; on unfunded portion of DWSRF PPL; may be eligible for Northern Borders</i>
<i>5. Proposal prioritizes DWGTF loan requests vs. DWGTF grant requests.</i>		
		<i>system clarified that they will modify scope if needed</i>
<i>6. Proof of thoroughness with respect to both the application and project development.</i>		
<i>7. Demonstrates project readiness through methods including but not limited to letters of support from local entities, preparation and submittal of preliminary engineering reports, and confirmation of approval of funds from leveraged funding sources.</i>		
	X	<i>Recommend additional engineering and investigative work for project development</i>
<i>8. Project is consistent with the applicant's established Asset Management Program and proposed management of assets, Capital Improvement Plans, and rate analysis associated with the project.</i>		
	X	<i>No asset management program-recommended</i>
<i>9. Project has an impact on economic development.</i>		
<i>10. Project is energy efficient or increases energy efficiency of the system.</i>		
<i>11. Project improves water efficiency.</i>		
<i>12. Project enhances source water protection or acquisition of water sources for public consumption.</i>		
<i>13. Project involves a unique or innovative approach.</i>		
<i>14. Project completion will result in the interconnection of two or more Public Water Systems (PWS).</i>		
<i>15. Project has long-term viability.</i>		



## DWGTF Advisory Commission Screening Worksheet

The Drinking Water and Groundwater Trust Fund (DWGTF) **Drinking Water Loan and Grant Program Rules for Construction Projects**, adopted March 11, 2019 by the DWGTF Advisory Commission, outlines *criteria that may be considered by the Commission when determining whether or not to award a loan or grant.*

Applicant Name:	Town of Enfield	Recommended for Funding	Priority
Project Name:	Enfield Water System Infrastructure Upgrade	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	
Application Date:	9/13/19	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

### CRITERIA TO BE CONSIDERED

YES	NO	Screening Notes:
		<i>1. Project results in the removal, reduction or mitigation of contamination related to groundwater or drinking water.</i>
X		<i>Hardness (not priority) but Gross Alpha (varies between 18-40/limit is 15) detections in one source well – contaminated well only used when needed- flushing fire protection;</i>
		<i>2. Project is for a PWS serving customers with a low Median Household Income or high Affordability Index.</i>
	X	<i>\$82,469 MHI for town</i>
		<i>3. Applicant has exhausted all other possible funding sources.</i>
	X	<i>Has not applied to USDA- May apply to CDBG- may be eligible for Northern Borders- additional justification needed</i>
		<i>4. Proposal provides the greatest amount of funds from outside (non-DWGTF) funding sources.</i>
	X	<i>Has not applied to USDA- May apply to CDBG- may be eligible for Northern Borders. Committee recommend that they pursue other funding sources and then come back</i>
		<i>5. Proposal prioritizes DWGTF loan requests vs. DWGTF grant requests.</i>
X		<i>70/30 loan vs. grant favorable ration</i>
		<i>6. Proof of thoroughness with respect to both the application and project development.</i>
		<i>7. Demonstrates project readiness through methods including but not limited to letters of support from local entities, preparation and submittal of preliminary engineering reports, and confirmation of approval of funds from leveraged funding sources.</i>
		<i>Letters of support provided</i>
		<i>8. Project is consistent with the applicant’s established Asset Management Program and proposed management of assets, Capital Improvement Plans, and rate analysis associated with the project.</i>
		<i>9. Project has an impact on economic development.</i>
		<i>10. Project is energy efficient or increases energy efficiency of the system.</i>
		<i>11. Project improves water efficiency.</i>
		<i>12. Project enhances source water protection or acquisition of water sources for public consumption.</i>
		<i>13. Project involves a unique or innovative approach.</i>
		<i>14. Project completion will result in the interconnection of two or more Public Water Systems (PWS).</i>
		<i>15. Project has long-term viability.</i>



## DWGTF Advisory Commission Screening Worksheet

The Drinking Water and Groundwater Trust Fund (DWGTF) **Drinking Water Loan and Grant Program Rules for Construction Projects**, adopted March 11, 2019 by the DWGTF Advisory Commission, outlines *criteria that may be considered by the Commission when determining whether or not to award a loan or grant.*

Applicant Name:	City of Franklin	Recommended for Funding	Priority
Project Name:	New Hampton Road Water Main Replacement	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	
Application Date:	9/13/19	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

<b>CRITERIA TO BE CONSIDERED</b>		
YES	NO	Screening Notes:
<i>1. Project results in the removal, reduction or mitigation of contamination related to groundwater or drinking water.</i>		
X		<i>Tuberculation noted- aging and corrosion issue not contamination</i>
<i>2. Project is for a PWS serving customers with a low Median Household Income or high Affordability Index.</i>		
X		<i>Low MHI-high AI (1.55 current)- recommend they provide projected rate and implications of additional increase</i>
<i>3. Applicant has exhausted all other possible funding sources.</i>		
X		<i>Current 2018 DWSRF loan \$3.3 M secured; USDA funds denied; on the 2019 unfunded portion of SRF list</i>
<i>4. Proposal provides the greatest amount of funds from outside (non-DWGTF) funding sources.</i>		
X		<i>Obtained DWSRF funding for portion of work- additional funding needed to complete entire project</i>
<i>5. Proposal prioritizes DWGTF loan requests vs. DWGTF grant requests.</i>		
	?	<i>Would they be willing to accept grant but not loan? 100% grant requested (represents 33% of total project costs \$5.4M))- Application does not convey an urgency for funding- recommend explore other funding sources and re-apply in future</i>
<i>6. Proof of thoroughness with respect to both the application and project development.</i>		
	X	<i>Application not clear on project scope for this funding request-from application it appears trying to offset SRF loan with grant rather than fill gap for larger project scope</i>
<i>7. Demonstrates project readiness through methods including but not limited to letters of support from local entities, preparation and submittal of preliminary engineering reports, and confirmation of approval of funds from leveraged funding sources.</i>		
<i>8. Project is consistent with the applicant's established Asset Management Program and proposed management of assets, Capital Improvement Plans, and rate analysis associated with the project.</i>		
<i>9. Project has an impact on economic development.</i>		
X		<i>Low MHI- need infrastructure to support economic growth</i>
<i>10. Project is energy efficient or increases energy efficiency of the system.</i>		
<i>11. Project improves water efficiency.</i>		
<i>12. Project enhances source water protection or acquisition of water sources for public consumption.</i>		
<i>13. Project involves a unique or innovative approach.</i>		
<i>14. Project completion will result in the interconnection of two or more Public Water Systems (PWS).</i>		
<i>15. Project has long-term viability.</i>		



## DWGTF Advisory Commission Screening Worksheet

The Drinking Water and Groundwater Trust Fund (DWGTF) **Drinking Water Loan and Grant Program Rules for Construction Projects**, adopted March 11, 2019 by the DWGTF Advisory Commission, outlines *criteria that may be considered by the Commission when determining whether or not to award a loan or grant.*

Applicant Name:	Freedom Village MHP	Recommended for Funding	Priority
Project Name:	Freedom Village Distribution Improvements	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	Low-Med
Application Date:	9/13/19	<input type="checkbox"/> No	

<b>CRITERIA TO BE CONSIDERED</b>		
YES	NO	Screening Notes:
<i>1. Project results in the removal, reduction or mitigation of contamination related to groundwater or drinking water.</i>		
X		<i>Galvanized piping- no known contamination; recommend sampling</i>
<i>2. Project is for a PWS serving customers with a low Median Household Income or high Affordability Index.</i>		
X		<i>High AI- willing to increase rates</i>
<i>3. Applicant has exhausted all other possible funding sources.</i>		
	X	<i>Concord CDBG allotment on other projects for year; not eligible for DWSRF</i>
<i>4. Proposal provides the greatest amount of funds from outside (non-DWGTF) funding sources.</i>		
X		<i>Concord CDBG allotment on other projects for year; not eligible for DWSRF</i>
<i>5. Proposal prioritizes DWGTF loan requests vs. DWGTF grant requests.</i>		
X		<i>Requesting 50/50 grant loan and demonstrate willingness to raise rate</i>
<i>6. Proof of thoroughness with respect to both the application and project development.</i>		
		<i>Project needs to design but thorough application</i>
<i>7. Demonstrates project readiness through methods including but not limited to letters of support from local entities, preparation and submittal of preliminary engineering reports, and confirmation of approval of funds from leveraged funding sources.</i>		
<i>8. Project is consistent with the applicant's established Asset Management Program and proposed management of assets, Capital Improvement Plans, and rate analysis associated with the project.</i>		
<i>9. Project has an impact on economic development.</i>		
<i>10. Project is energy efficient or increases energy efficiency of the system.</i>		
<i>11. Project improves water efficiency.</i>		
<i>12. Project enhances source water protection or acquisition of water sources for public consumption.</i>		
<i>13. Project involves a unique or innovative approach.</i>		
<i>14. Project completion will result in the interconnection of two or more Public Water Systems (PWS).</i>		
<i>15. Project has long-term viability.</i>		



## DWGTF Advisory Commission Screening Worksheet

The Drinking Water and Groundwater Trust Fund (DWGTF) **Drinking Water Loan and Grant Program Rules for Construction Projects**, adopted March 11, 2019 by the DWGTF Advisory Commission, outlines *criteria that may be considered by the Commission when determining whether or not to award a loan or grant.*

Applicant Name:	Lancaster Water Department	Recommended for Funding	Priority
Project Name:	Summer Street Water Main Replacement	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	
Application Date:	9/13/19	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

### CRITERIA TO BE CONSIDERED

YES	NO	Screening Notes:
		<i>1. Project results in the removal, reduction or mitigation of contamination related to groundwater or drinking water.</i>
	X	<i>Lead jointed piping- no lead violations noted</i>
		<i>2. Project is for a PWS serving customers with a low Median Household Income or high Affordability Index.</i>
X		<i>Low MHI-projected rate provided- projected AI=1.35</i>
		<i>3. Applicant has exhausted all other possible funding sources.</i>
	X	<i>USDA funded-completed last year- cost over-run due to ledge/gap funding to complete project; eligible for Northern Borders-town may have used to fund other projects; recommend applicant discuss with CDBG to pursue other potential options; Project urgency not demonstrated-come back once sources are exhausted</i>
		<i>4. Proposal provides the greatest amount of funds from outside (non-DWGTF) funding sources.</i>
	X	<i>Committing \$234,800 in town funds and existing USDA to leverage funding; community cannot take on any more debt so did not apply to DWSRF this year; Pursue CDBG &amp; Northern Borders</i>
		<i>5. Proposal prioritizes DWGTF loan requests vs. DWGTF grant requests.</i>
	X	<i>No loan requested-grant only request to address gap funding</i>
		<i>6. Proof of thoroughness with respect to both the application and project development.</i>
X		<i>Ready to proceed</i>
		<i>7. Demonstrates project readiness through methods including but not limited to letters of support from local entities, preparation and submittal of preliminary engineering reports, and confirmation of approval of funds from leveraged funding sources.</i>
		<i>8. Project is consistent with the applicant's established Asset Management Program and proposed management of assets, Capital Improvement Plans, and rate analysis associated with the project.</i>
	X	
		<i>9. Project has an impact on economic development.</i>
	X	
		<i>10. Project is energy efficient or increases energy efficiency of the system.</i>
	X	
		<i>11. Project improves water efficiency.</i>
		<i>12. Project enhances source water protection or acquisition of water sources for public consumption.</i>
	X	
		<i>13. Project involves a unique or innovative approach.</i>
	X	
		<i>14. Project completion will result in the interconnection of two or more Public Water Systems (PWS).</i>
		<i>15. Project has long-term viability.</i>





## DWGTF Advisory Commission Screening Worksheet

The Drinking Water and Groundwater Trust Fund (DWGTF) **Drinking Water Loan and Grant Program Rules for Construction Projects**, adopted March 11, 2019 by the DWGTF Advisory Commission, outlines *criteria that may be considered by the Commission when determining whether or not to award a loan or grant.*

Applicant Name:	Town of Lisbon	Recommended for Funding	Priority
Project Name:	Lisbon Water System Infrastructure Upgrades	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	Low-med
Application Date:	9/13/19	<input type="checkbox"/> No	

<b>CRITERIA TO BE CONSIDERED</b>		
YES	NO	Screening Notes:
<i>1. Project results in the removal, reduction or mitigation of contamination related to groundwater or drinking water.</i>		
X		
<i>2. Project is for a PWS serving customers with a low Median Household Income or high Affordability Index.</i>		
X		<i>Low MHI-High AI</i>
<i>3. Applicant has exhausted all other possible funding sources.</i>		
	X	<i>Applied for SRF- funded portion of list- recommend explore northern borders program</i>
<i>4. Proposal provides the greatest amount of funds from outside (non-DWGTF) funding sources.</i>		
	X	<i>Planning request so they can pursue USDA \$\$- Expectation is that applicant use planning grant to leverage and secure other funding sources</i>
<i>5. Proposal prioritizes DWGTF loan requests vs. DWGTF grant requests.</i>		
	X	<i>Grant requested- Loan request would have much greater appeal</i>
<i>6. Proof of thoroughness with respect to both the application and project development.</i>		
X		<i>Recommend applicant leverage other funding sources for project construction</i>
<i>7. Demonstrates project readiness through methods including but not limited to letters of support from local entities, preparation and submittal of preliminary engineering reports, and confirmation of approval of funds from leveraged funding sources.</i>		
<i>8. Project is consistent with the applicant's established Asset Management Program and proposed management of assets, Capital Improvement Plans, and rate analysis associated with the project.</i>		
<i>9. Project has an impact on economic development.</i>		
<i>10. Project is energy efficient or increases energy efficiency of the system.</i>		
<i>11. Project improves water efficiency.</i>		
<i>12. Project enhances source water protection or acquisition of water sources for public consumption.</i>		
<i>13. Project involves a unique or innovative approach.</i>		
<i>14. Project completion will result in the interconnection of two or more Public Water Systems (PWS).</i>		
<i>15. Project has long-term viability.</i>		



## DWGTF Advisory Commission Screening Worksheet

The Drinking Water and Groundwater Trust Fund (DWGTF) **Drinking Water Loan and Grant Program Rules for Construction Projects**, adopted March 11, 2019 by the DWGTF Advisory Commission, outlines *criteria that may be considered by the Commission when determining whether or not to award a loan or grant.*

Applicant Name:	Ossipee Bluffs	Recommended for Funding	Priority
Project Name:	Bluffs at Ossipee System Tank House Upgrade	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	
Application Date:	9/13/19	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

### CRITERIA TO BE CONSIDERED

YES	NO	Screening Notes:
		1. <i>Project results in the removal, reduction or mitigation of contamination related to groundwater or drinking water.</i>
	X	
		2. <i>Project is for a PWS serving customers with a low Median Household Income or high Affordability Index.</i>
	X	Low water rate- Second Home community
		3. <i>Applicant has exhausted all other possible funding sources.</i>
	X	Continue to pursue other funding- on the unfunded portion of the 2019 DWSRF list
		4. <i>Proposal provides the greatest amount of funds from outside (non-DWGTF) funding sources.</i>
	X	project costs do not add up
		5. <i>Proposal prioritizes DWGTF loan requests vs. DWGTF grant requests.</i>
X		100% loan request-
		6. <i>Proof of thoroughness with respect to both the application and project development.</i>
		7. <i>Demonstrates project readiness through methods including but not limited to letters of support from local entities, preparation and submittal of preliminary engineering reports, and confirmation of approval of funds from leveraged funding sources.</i>
		8. <i>Project is consistent with the applicant's established Asset Management Program and proposed management of assets, Capital Improvement Plans, and rate analysis associated with the project.</i>
		9. <i>Project has an impact on economic development.</i>
		10. <i>Project is energy efficient or increases energy efficiency of the system.</i>
		11. <i>Project improves water efficiency.</i>
		12. <i>Project enhances source water protection or acquisition of water sources for public consumption.</i>
		13. <i>Project involves a unique or innovative approach.</i>
		14. <i>Project completion will result in the interconnection of two or more Public Water Systems (PWS).</i>
		15. <i>Project has long-term viability.</i>



## DWGTF Advisory Commission Screening Worksheet

The Drinking Water and Groundwater Trust Fund (DWGTF) **Drinking Water Loan and Grant Program Rules for Construction Projects**, adopted March 11, 2019 by the DWGTF Advisory Commission, outlines *criteria that may be considered by the Commission when determining whether or not to award a loan or grant.*

Applicant Name:	Town of Peterborough	Recommended for Funding	Priority
Project Name:	Cold springs Water Supply- Peterborough/Jaffrey Municipal Water Supply	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	
Application Date:	9/13/19	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

<b>CRITERIA TO BE CONSIDERED</b>		
YES	NO	Screening Notes:
<i>1. Project results in the removal, reduction or mitigation of contamination related to groundwater or drinking water.</i>		
X		
<i>2. Project is for a PWS serving customers with a low Median Household Income or high Affordability Index.</i>		
		<i>Provide projected rates for each community</i>
<i>3. Applicant has exhausted all other possible funding sources.</i>		
X		<i>Continue to explore other funding options- USDA fund (Jaffrey) pending- wait for decision and comeback- consider Northern Borders</i>
<i>4. Proposal provides the greatest amount of funds from outside (non-DWGTF) funding sources.</i>		
X		<i>Continue to seek leveraged funding from outside resources (i.e. Millipore)</i>
<i>5. Proposal prioritizes DWGTF loan requests vs. DWGTF grant requests.</i>		
X		<i>Loan/Grant Request is larger than the currently allocated DWGTF budget</i>
<i>6. Proof of thoroughness with respect to both the application and project development.</i>		
X		
<i>7. Demonstrates project readiness through methods including but not limited to letters of support from local entities, preparation and submittal of preliminary engineering reports, and confirmation of approval of funds from leveraged funding sources.</i>		
		<i>Reasonable approach and conceptual project but additional project design and further collaboration needed to demonstrate commitment and feasibility; Continue to develop project and exercise option for review by special project and separate Subcommittee</i>
<i>8. Project is consistent with the applicant's established Asset Management Program and proposed management of assets, Capital Improvement Plans, and rate analysis associated with the project.</i>		
<i>9. Project has an impact on economic development.</i>		
X		<i>Millipore- great job potential and growth for region (up to 400 jobs)</i>
<i>10. Project is energy efficient or increases energy efficiency of the system.</i>		
<i>11. Project improves water efficiency.</i>		
<i>12. Project enhances source water protection or acquisition of water sources for public consumption.</i>		
<i>13. Project involves a unique or innovative approach.</i>		
<i>14. Project completion will result in the interconnection of two or more Public Water Systems (PWS).</i>		
<i>15. Project has long-term viability.</i>		