

RMAC Review of State Surplus Land

Review of Properties Being Considered for Disposal
Rivers and Lakes Program Review Checklist
Revised: January 30, 2012

Property Name: _____ SLR #: _____
Date received from CORD or other state agency (date of e-mail) _____
Due Date Issued by CORD or other state agency _____
Property Location: _____
Proposed Intent or Use: _____
Adjacent River: _____ River frontage: _____ ft.
Date RMAC requested extension (date of e-mail to CORD): _____
(Statutory Authority for Review: 483:8 VII; 483:14 I; 483:14 II)

Property Information and Site Conditions:

1. Is the property adjacent to or providing access to a river or designated river?
 - Yes RMAC is required to review and make a recommendation(s) on the disposal of this property. The recommendation(s) made by RMAC is/are **binding**.
 - No, RMAC does not have jurisdiction; however, RMAC may make an advisory recommendation.

2. Is there a Local River Management Advisory Committee (LRMAC)?
 - Yes The Rivers and Lakes Program will notify the applicable LRMAC
 - If the river is a Designated River, attach a summary of the LRMAC comments to this form.
 - No

3. Is the adjacent waterbody a public water supply?
 - Yes What is the proximity of the proposed use to the water supply intake? _____
 - No
 - Cannot be determined

4. Is the property within a Source Water Protection Area?
 - Yes Describe: _____
 - No
 - Cannot be determined

5. Which state department holds the property?
 - DOT Was the property purchased with federal highway or turnpike funds?
 - Yes
 - No
 - DRED
 - F&G
 - DES
 - Other _____

6. What is the nature of the request?
 - Purchase
 - Lease
 - Easement
 - Other _____

7. Is there public access on the property?

- Yes
 Water: Canoe/cartop or powerboat
 Land: Fishing, hiking
 No

8. Is there existing development on the site?

- Yes Describe: _____
 No _____

9. Is there existing development on the adjacent sites?

- Yes What is the location of the nearest existing development? _____
Distance from property _____
 No

10. If the project proposes new development, is it consistent with existing and adjacent development?

- Yes
 No
 N/A

11. Was a site visit conducted by Program staff?

- Yes Attach a synopsis of the visit including the date to this form.
 No

Site Designations and Wildlife Considerations:

12. Are there Prime Wetlands, NWI mapped, or other mapped wetlands present on or adjacent to the site?

- Yes Attach detailed information to this form.
 No

If YES, will there be impacts to the Prime Wetlands?

- Yes
 No
 Unknown

13. Are there Natural Heritage Bureau (NHB) rare, threatened or endangered species present?

- Yes Attach NHB Information to this form.
 No

If YES, will there be impacts to the rare, threatened or endangered species?

- Yes
 No
 Unknown

14. Are there cultural resources (historic sites, stone walls, etc) on site?

- Yes Attach NH Department of Cultural Resources information to this form.
 No
 Unknown

If YES, will there be impacts to the cultural resources?

- Yes
 No
 Unknown or N/A

15. Are there Wildlife Action Plan (WAP) critical habitats and/or associated species present?

- Yes
- No
- Unknown

If YES, will there be impacts to the critical habitats and/or associated species?

- Yes Attach WAP information to this form.
- No
- Unknown

16. Will there be shoreland and near shore zone modification that would degrade the environment, particularly for waterfowl and aquatic life?

- Yes _____
- No
- Cannot be determined

17. Does the proposed use maintain the environment, particularly for waterfowl and aquatic life?

- Yes
- No
- Cannot be determined

18. Does the proposed use improve the environment, particularly for waterfowl and aquatic life?

- Yes Explain: _____
- No _____
- Cannot be determined

19. Are there any other special designations?

- Yes Explain: _____
- No _____
- Unknown

Water Quality, Flooding and Recreational Considerations:

20. Is water quality test data available?

- Yes Test Date: _____ Distance from site: _____ ft.
Attach water quality data to this form.
- No

21. List Point sources of pollution:

- Land-based: _____
- Water-based: _____
- Cannot be determined: _____

22. List Non-Point sources of pollution:

- Land-based: _____
- Water-based: _____
- Cannot be determined: _____

23. Will there be a significant adverse impact on water quality?

- Yes
- No
- Cannot be determined

24. Will there be a cumulative impact on water quality?

- Yes
- No
- Cannot be determined

25. Is there potential for vegetation removal in the shoreland?

- Yes
- No
- Cannot be determined

26. Are there potential impacts to water quality such as: steep slopes present; potential for erosion:

- Yes **Explain:** _____
- No _____
- Cannot Be determined

27. Will there be an addition of impervious surface?

- Yes **Explain:** _____
- No _____
- Cannot Be determined

28. Is there potential for changes in stormwater flow or runoff?

- Yes **Explain:** _____
- No _____
- Cannot be determined

29. Will the proposed use provide new public or private access to the river?

- Public
- Private
- No
- Cannot be determined

30. Will the proposed use interrupt or terminate existing access to the river?

- Yes
- No
- Cannot be determined

31. Are there observed or seasonally anticipated river or land-based recreational opportunities?

- Yes **Explain:** _____
- No _____
- Cannot Be determined

32. Is the property or any portion of the property located in a floodplain or identified Fluvial Erosion Hazard zone?

- Yes
- No
- Cannot be determined

33. Will the proposed use impact flood storage capacity?

- Yes **Explain:** _____
- No _____
- Cannot be determined

